Priority Charter Schools District Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

The primary mission and vision of Priority Charter Schools is to implement a public charter school in partnership with parents and the community that offers a multicultural, safe and friendly environment in which students can successfully learn basic skills and core academic content, develop and demonstrate their special talents and gifts, and develop social competencies that demonstrate citizenship and character.

Your Choice. Your Future. Our Priority.

Oral translations are available. Contact Priority Charter Schools at 254-206-2013. Tenemos traducciones orales disponibles. ContactoePriority Charter Schools at 254-206-2013.

Vision

The secondary mission and vision of the schools is also committed to empowering others who possess like visions and missions to carry out their distinctive callings. PCS does not intend to control others in their endeavors, but to help lighten their load of providing assistance and training in the area of personalized education.

Value Statement

At Priority Charter Schools, the administration attempts to teach its staff that parents and students are our customers. We further endeavor to instill within each staff member, from the teachers to the cook to the custodian that "people do not care what you have until they know you care."

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Needs Assessment Overview	4
Demographics	5
Student Learning	10
District Processes & Programs	11
Perceptions	12
Priority Problem Statements	13
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	14
Goals	16
Goal 1: Students will reach high levels of academic achievement.	17
Goal 2: Students will be prepared for post-secondary opportunities.	25
Goal 3: PCS will attract and retain high quality administration, faculty, and staff.	27
Goal 4: PCS will provide a safe, positive, supportive, and disciplined learning environment.	31
Goal 5: PCS will fully implement all required special programs, fulfilling all federal, state, and local requirements.	35
Goal 6: PCS will promote cooperative relationships among students, faculty, parents, and community members.	42
District Funding Summary	44

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Needs Assessment Overview

Needs Assessment Overview Summary

Area Reviewed	Summary of Strengths	Summary of Needs	Priorities
	What were the identified strengths?	What were the identified needs?	What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?
Demographics	Low student to teacher ratios Small class size	High percentage of economically disadvantaged students Increase enrollment	Marketing and recruiting students
Student Achievement	1:1 technology Scheduled interventions Data talks Instructional coaching College partners Unit Internalization	Improve math STAAR results Improve reading STAAR results Improve data driven instruction Improve CCMR outcomes	Star 360 (Renaissance math and reading) HQIM and support from Region 12 Zearn ST Math Amplify Boost DMAC Paraprofessionals to support math and reading
School Culture and Climate	Parent and Community opportunities Safety procedures Community partners	Social and Emotional focus Multi-cultural activities Student discipline	PAX PBIS

Area Reviewed	Summary of Strengths	Summary of Needs	Priorities
	What were the identified strengths?	What were the identified needs?	What are the priorities for the campus, including how federal and state program funds will be used?
Staff Quality/ Professional Development	Increase in certified staff Region 12 trainings	Ongoing professional development and training Effective instructional leadership Mentoring Clarity and transparency with T-TESS SchoolMint observations	RSSP Meetings focused on instructional strategies Mentoring of new teachers/staff Texas Instructional Leadership Reading Academies Increase certifications in ESL and GT
Curriculum, Instruction, Assessment	Effective School Framework (ESF) Advisory Periods STAAR materials Established tutoring program	Increase training to address SPED/504/RtI differentiation Increase rigor Hands-on activities/Student engagement	PLC's to address differentiation, rigor, and instructional strategies Incorporate task-performances Instructional Coach weekly feedback
Family and Community Involvement	Website School Messenger system Social Media	Improve parent communication	New parent portal in Ascender
School Context and Organization	Work collaboratively with stakeholders Work collaboratively among campuses	Establish best practice protocol	Engage the campuses as a district to maximize resources and efforts
Technology	One-on-one technology Websites for intervention	Availability of dependable internet access Phone systems	IT Support

Demographics

Demographics Summary

PCS serves students and families in Copperas Cove, Temple, and Killeen area.

PCS serves an average of 800 students in Central Texas. The Temple campus currently serves approximately 300 students (Prekindergarten-12), Copperas Cove serves approximately 250 students (Prekindergarten-12), and we are opening a new location in Killeen, Texas this year.

Students who reside in the following districts are eligible to apply at PCS. Academy ISD / Barlett ISD / Belton ISD / Copperas Cove ISD / Georgetown ISD / Hutto ISD / Jarrell ISD / Killeen ISD / Lampasas ISD / Leander ISD / Liberty Hill ISD / Moody ISD / Round Rock ISD / Salado ISD / Temple ISD / Troy ISD

The surrounding communities includes several institutions of higher education. Fort Hood is a major influence in the area. Other primary industries are heavily influenced healthcare and technology.

Total NEMIS	all ment	17-18	18-19	19-20	20-21	21-22
Special Programs						
	Gifted/ Talented (TAG)	0	17	12	46	45
	English 2nd Language (ESL)	40	42	14	9	52
	Bilingual (BIL)	0	0	0	0	0
	Limited English (LEP)	33	39	33	35	27
	Special Education	106	98	104	120	110
	At Risk	362	422	382	366	397

2021 2022 D' 4 ' 4 C4 1 4 C		
2021-2022 District Student Information		
District Name: PRIORITY CHARTER SCHOOLS (014803)		
County Name: BELL		
	District	
Student Information	Count	Percent
Total Students	836	100.0%
Students by Grade: Early Childhood Education	0	0.0%
Pre-Kindergarten	86	10.4%
Kindergarten	75	9.0%
Grade 1	68	8.1%
Grade 2	65	7.8%
Grade 3	74	8.9%
Grade 4	70	8.4%
Grade 5	61	7.3%
Grade 6	60	7.2%
Grade 7	61	7.3%
Grade 8	58	6.9%
Grade 9	50	6.0%
Grade 10	48	5.7%
Grade 11	29	3.5%
Grade 12	31	3.7%
Ethnic Distribution: African American	147	17.6%
Hispanic	279	33.4%
White	316	37.8%
American Indian	2	0.2%

2021-2022 District Student Information		
Asian	19	2.3%
Pacific Islander	6	0.7%
Two or More Races	67	8.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	483	57.8%
Non-Educationally Disadvantaged	353	42.2%
Section 504 Students	107	12.8%
Emergent Bilingual/EL	51	6.1%
Students w/ Disciplinary Placements (2020-2021)	0	0.0%
Students w/ Dyslexia	60	7.2%
At-Risk	397	47.5%
Students with Disabilities by Type of Primary Disability: Total Students with Disabilities	110	
By Type of Primary Disability Students with Intellectual Disabilities	58	52.7%
Students with Physical Disabilities	24	21.8%
Students with Autism	14	12.7%
Students with Behavioral Disabilities	14	12.7%
Students with Non-Categorical Early Childhood	0	0.0%
Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject (Derived from teacher responsibility records):	District	State
Elementary: Kindergarten	23.0	18.7
Grade 1	17.0	18.7
Grade 2	16.3	18.6
Grade 3	18.5	18.7

2021-2022 District Student Information			
Grade 4	17.3	18.8	
Grade 5	17.4	20.2	
Grade 6	14.7	19.2	
Secondary: English/Language Arts	8.7	16.3	
Foreign Languages	7.1	18.4	
Mathematics	7.8	17.5	
Science	8.0	18.5	
Social Studies	7.7	19.1	

Teachers by Years of Experience:	
Beginning Teachers	7.7%
1-5 Years Experience	36.6%
6-10 Years Experience	20%
11-20 Years Experience	24.7%
Over 20 Years Experience	11.1%

Turnover Rate for Teachers: 37%

Staff Information District State Experience of Campus Leadership: PCS State

Average Years Experience of Principals	3.5	6.3	
Average Years Experience of Principals with District	3.5	5.4	
			П

Demographics Strengths

We serve a diverse student population, and ethnic distributions are consistent with the previous year.

At-risk numbers and categories are consistent.

Low staff to student ratios impact instruction and relationship building.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): PCS does not offer the types of extracurricular and academic programs found in secondary campuses at surrounding Independent School Districts. **Root Cause:** Small high school enrollment.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): PCS teachers have fewer years of experience compared to state and region data. **Root Cause:** Teacher salaries are lower than surrounding districts.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): PCS has a higher teacher turnover rate compared to state and region data. Root Cause: Teacher salaries are lower than surrounding districts.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): PCS is a choice, so enrollment numbers can fluctuate. **Root Cause:** PCS needs to improve marketing strategies.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

The 2022 rating for Priority Charter Schools is a B. Campus and district leaders have identified strengths in student achievement as well as areas of concern.

Student Learning Strengths

Student achievement scores have improved, particularly in reading.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: Specific campuses have lower scores particularly in math and science. Root Cause: Teacher turnover and student attendance contributed to lower scores.

District Processes & Programs

District Processes & Programs Summary

Instructional and Curricular Processes

PCS uses the TEKS Resource System, a curriculum management solution, to provide K-12 alignment of the State of Texas curriculum, the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills. The TEKS Resource System provides teachers with a framework for instruction and curriculum documents for planning daily lessons.

PCS uses DMAC for data collection and analysis. Data sources include STAAR, EOC, Renaissance Reading, Renaissance Math, and TPRI.

Personnel

PCS recruits teachers and staff through Indeed job postings and job fairs.

PCS contracts with the Education Service Centers to support grants, business operations, and training.

PCS contracts services to support PEIMS.

District Processes & Programs Strengths

PCS offers quality educational programs for students.

PCS engages in Community Partnerships (Community Colleges, and early childhood learning centers)

Problem Statements Identifying District Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Teacher retention is a challenge Root Cause: Certified teachers are attracted to higher salaries in surrounding districts.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Priority Charter Schools is an open-enrollment charter school district. As such, all PCS campuses are free public schools that have the flexibility to adapt to the educational needs of individual students. PCS serves a wide range of students that extend from gifted and talented, to students who need additional support to get to grade level. That is why PCS is different from the one-size-fits-all traditional public school.

PCS provides a personalized learning environment that promotes greater student achievement. PCS also places a great emphasis on character citizenship in both the teachers and students.

PCS partners with both the parents and the community. All campuses operate on the philosophy that each child has value. We believe that every child is unique and must be treated accordingly. See Priority Charter Schools' mission statement.

PCS sees its obligation as providing a personalized education tailored to the needs of every student.

Perceptions Strengths

Priority Charter Schools meets the needs of students and families who are seeking a different choice in education.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: PCS teachers have fewer years of experience compared to state and region data.

Root Cause 1: Teacher salaries are lower than surrounding districts.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: PCS has a higher teacher turnover rate compared to state and region data.

Root Cause 2: Teacher salaries are lower than surrounding districts.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 3: PCS is a choice, so enrollment numbers can fluctuate.

Root Cause 3: PCS needs to improve marketing strategies.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 4: PCS does not offer the types of extracurricular and academic programs found in secondary campuses at surrounding Independent School Districts.

Root Cause 4: Small high school enrollment.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Federal Report Card and accountability data
- RDA data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Postsecondary college, career or military-ready graduates including enlisting in U. S. armed services, earning an industry based certification, earning an associate degree, graduating with completed IEP and workforce readiness
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data

- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Annual dropout rate data
- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Equity data
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Communications data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: Students will reach high levels of academic achievement.

Performance Objective 1: The percent of all students and each student group, including special program students tests, will pass all portions of the state assessment in reading, math, science and social studies will increase to 75%.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Each campus will provide tutorial time for students for special education students and others who are at-risk of	Formative			Summative
failure in core subject areas. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased academic scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Teachers and principals will participate in Professional Learning Communities (PLC) for HQIMs to analyze		Formative		
data and implement interventions. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals Funding Sources: DMAC (analyze data) - 211 Title I, Part A - \$9,382	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 3: Implement Texas Instructional Leadership - Observation/Feedback on all campuses to provide feedback and		Formative		Summative
specific suggestions to teachers about classroom management and academic rigor. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved teacher effectiveness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent, Principals Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund	Nov	Jan	Mar	June

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Paraprofessionals will support instruction in high needs areas.	Formative Sum		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide additional time for small group or individualized instruction.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals				
Funding Sources: - 211 Title I, Part A - \$154,025				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: PCS will provide training for effective teaching strategies with Emergent Bilingual (EB) students.		Formative		Summative
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2: The percent of 3rd grade students that score "meets" grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase to 41% by June 2024. Subpopulations will also be analyzed.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Utilize paraprofessionals to support instruction.		Formative S		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Enable small group instruction.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principal				
Funding Sources: - 211 Title I, Part A - 154.025				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers participate in PLC discussions and data-analysis activities with grade level/content teams. Assessment		Formative		Summative
data will from STAAR, benchmarks, Renaissance 360, and textbook resources will be analyzed through DMAC.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fully implment Multi-Tier Support System for interventions Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Instructional Coach, RtI Lead				
Stan Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, instructional Coach, Rti Lead				
Funding Sources: DMAC - 211 Title I, Part A - \$9,382, Renaissance - 420 General Fund - \$49,000				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Provide tutorial and interventions times during and outside of the school day.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, teachers	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Monitor benchmark data for gaps and inequities in academic performance for subpopulations and special				Summative
populations.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Closing the gaps among subpopulations	1101		112412	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Teachers, Assistant Superintendent, Superintendent				
Funding Sources: DMAC - 211 Title I, Part A - \$9,382				

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Implement an assessment calendar for beginning of year, middle of year, and end of year diagnostics and		Formative		Summative June
benchmark testing.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Identify students for interventions to support success on STAAR. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Coordinator of Academics, Assistant Superintendent				
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: The percent of 1st grade students that score "on grade level" in Reading on the mClass Reading End-of-Year assessment will increase to 50% by June 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Amplify mClass Reading assessment

Performance Objective 4: The percent of 2nd grade students that score "on grade level" in reading on mClass Reading End-of-Year assessment will increase to 55% by June 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Amplify mClass assessment

Performance Objective 5: The percent of 3rd grade student that score "meets" grade level or above on the STAAR Math will increase to 46% by June 2024.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR scores

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Assess student performance with Renaissance Star 360 at the beginning of year, middle of year, and end of		Formative		Summative
year. Monitor low performing students and implement interventions using a Muli-Tier Support System. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Identify learning gaps for students. Funding Sources: Renaissance - 420 General Fund - \$49,000	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 6: The percent of 1st grade students that score "at or above" grade level on the Renaissance Star 360 Math will increase to 82% by June 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Renaissance Star 360

Performance Objective 7: The percent of 2nd grade students that score "at or above" grade level on the Renaissance Star 360 in Math will increase to 64% by June 2024.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Renaissance Star 360

Goal 2: Students will be prepared for post-secondary opportunities.

Performance Objective 1: PCS graduates will meet the criteria for College, Career, and Military Readiness.

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: industry certifications, TSI, dual credit enrollment, and military enlistment

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide Career and Technical Education courses through e-Dynamics.	Formative			Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Coordinator of Academics	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Funding Sources: E-Dynamics - 420 General Fund - \$55,000				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Monitor college, career, and military readiness beginning in the 8th grade.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase percent of students who graduate CCMR.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Coordinator of Academics, Principals, Assistant Superintendent				
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Facilitate dual credit, FAFSA completion, and college visits.		Formative		Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 2: Students will be prepared for post-secondary opportunities.

Performance Objective 2: PCS graduates will be eligible as "college ready."

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 1: 12th graders who have not met "college ready" standards will enroll in College Prep English and/or Math as a		Formative					
course credit. Texas College Bridge curriculum will be used in conjunction with the MOUs from the local community college.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Campus Principals, Assistant Principals							
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 2: Provide the opportunity for 11th and 12th graders to take the TSIA to demonstrate college readiness. Provide	Formative			Summative			
materials to help students prepare for the TSIA.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 3: Encourage students to take the ACT and/or SAT.	Formative Sur			Summative			
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	riews	•			
Strategy 4: Provide opportunities for students to visit colleges and college fairs.	Formative Sum			Formative Sumr			Summative
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue					

Performance Objective 1: Develop district-wide professional development for teachers, support staff, and administrators.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: K-2 teachers and principals will participate in Reading Academies.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved reading instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund - \$3,000				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers in Kindergarten through 5th grade will participate in professional learning for curriculum	Formative			Summative
implementation for Reading, Math, and Science. Teachers in 6th through 12th grade will participate in professional learning for curriculum implementation in Reading and Math. Professional learning will include training sessions and on site	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
coaching.				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Implement new curriculum with fidelity.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Principals				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: Increase percent of principals and teachers with certifications aligned with their employment assignment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Employee credentials

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Maintain documentation for all principals and teachers regarding certifications aligned with their employment	Formative			Summative
assignment. Stoff Degrangible for Manitoring, Superintendent Human Degranges	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent, Human Resources				
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: Improve teacher retention.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide mentoring program for beginning teachers.		Summative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Principals	Nov Jan Mar			June
Funding Sources: Teacher Mentors - 420 General Fund				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide leadership opportunities, training, and stipends for mentor teachers.	Formative Sum			Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Principals	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: Develop high quality teachers and principals.

Evaluation Data Sources: T-TESS, walk throughs, T-PESS

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement the T-TESS teacher appraisal system with fidelity.	Formative			Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Principals and administrators will engage in training for Texas Instructional Leadership with Observation/	Formative S			Summative
Feedback	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Implement Action Coaching to provide specific feedback to teachers regarding classroom management and rigor in instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent, Principals				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 1: Provide all students with Social-Emotional Learning curriculum.

Evaluation Data Sources: PAX training districtwide.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide training in bullying awareness and prevention.		Formative		
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Provide drug prevention activities.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Teachers	Nov	Nov Jan Mar		
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Contract with ESC 12 to offer health screenings.	Formative			Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent, Director of Finance	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Funding Sources: Nurse - 420 General Fund - \$12,000				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Campus leadership teams will participate in Texas Instructional Leadership - School Culture and Routines.		Formative Sum		
Leaders will implement routines with campus staff.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 5 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 5: Provide students with the opportunity to participate in Texas Child Health Access Through Telemedicine		Formative		Summative
(TCHATT).	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	<u>I</u> tinue		

Performance Objective 2: Revise Safety Plans for each campus.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide "Stop the Bleed" training at each campus		Formative		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Safety Director, Principals	Nov	Nov Jan Mar		
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Provide AED training at each campus	Formative			Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Safety Director	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 3: Provide Standard Response Protocols training at each campus.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: District Safety Director, Principals	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		

Performance Objective 3: Implement features of Raptor to record safety drills.

Performance Objective 4: Implement monthly checks for door safety audits.

Performance Objective 1: Maintain documentation and submit required reports of funds expended under ESSA. ESC Region 12 provides assistance with administration of Title I, Part A program.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Consult with ESC to submit documentation and verify expenditures.		Formative		Summative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Director of Finance	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Funding Sources: Region 12 Grant Support - 211 Title I, Part A - \$8,625					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Hire district personnel to conduct student, teacher, and parent interviews/assessments to develop transition		Formative		Summative	
als/plans and solicit community stakeholder participation in IEP development/ARD meeting.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Funding Sources: ARD & Transition Coordinator - 224 IDEA B - Formula Special Ed (SpEd) - \$35,600					
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Utilize SuccessEd for program documentation.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Collect and organize required documentation	Nov	Jan	Mar	r June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Programs					
Funding Sources: SuccessEd - 224 IDEA B - Formula Special Ed (SpEd) - \$4,000					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Utilize MobyMax to assist with in person and online academic instruction/intervention.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support interventions for instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Programs					
Funding Sources: - 224 IDEA B - Formula Special Ed (SpEd)					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	ı	

Performance Objective 2: Special Education: Develop and implement written procedures for Child Find, Evaluation, and FAPE.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Review existing procedures in order to update, revise or draft new procedures and processes	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Special Service Handbook - written procedures for the Special Education	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Programs Director, Diagnostician, Speech and Language Pathologist, Campus SPED Coordinators Funding Sources: - 224 IDEA B - Formula Special Ed (SpEd)				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Implement regular department meetings to present/discuss procedures and processes		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Programs Director, Diagnostician, Speech and Language Pathologist, Campus SPED Coordinators	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Funding Sources: - 224 IDEA B - Formula Special Ed (SpEd)				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: Improve ESL instruction.

Evaluation Data Sources: ESL certified teachers, professional development opportunities

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Selected teachers will attend the Title 2 Symposium for ESL.	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will be better equipped to provide ESL instruction.	1107			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Programs Director, Campus Principals, Director of Finance, Asst. Supt of Academics & Accountability				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide non-ESL certified staff with ESL certification information/course pathways. Implement a compensation	Formative			Summative
incentive for teachers who become certified.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the percent of ESL certified teachers.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Programs, Assistant Superintendent				
Funding Sources: - 420-PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•

Performance Objective 4: Section 504: Implement Child Find efforts by providing parents who indicate any health, behavior, medical, academic, or emotional needs on enrollment documentation with Child Find literature and campus contact information.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus Coordinators/Facilitators will review all new enrolling student PEIMS, transfer and/or new enrollment		Formative	Summativ	
information. Continue post Child Find Information - campus office and local businesses	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Section 504 consideration, Increased Section 504 eligibility Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Programs Director, Campus Section 504 Coordinator/Facilitators				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide parents of new enrolling students with Child Find literature.		Formative		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Programs	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	 ntinue		

Performance Objective 5: Dyslexia: Implement mandated dyslexia screening for Kindergarten, 1st grade, and 7th grade.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Review the current Dyslexia Handbook and TEC regarding Dyslexia Screening		Formative		Summative
Research and select a Dyslexia Screening Assessment for older students	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Propose and select a district-wide 7th grade Dyslexia Screening date(s) Administer the selected Dyslexia Screener to 7th grader				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Programs Director, Campus Dyslexia Facilitators, Campus Principals, District Testing Coordinator, Asst. Supt of Academics & Accountability				
Funding Sources: - 420-PIC 37 Dyslexia				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 6: RtI: Adhere to the district designed RtI process.

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation of weekly SST meetings

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide district PD of RtI Process		Formative		Summative
Post RtI Process and forms on District shared drive Schedule weekly campus SST meetings	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Documentation of SST intervention and progress monitoring				
Submission of PEIMS documentation of intervention(s)				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Programs Director, Campus RtI Coordinators, Campus Principals, Asst. Supt of Academics & Accountability				
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 7: GT: Serve the needs of students in a comprehensive gifted and talented program.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, GT enrollment, GT services

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Train all teachers on the identification process for GT.		Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the number of underrepresented students in GT and advanced academics.	Nov Jan Mar				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Programs, Assistant Superintendent					
Funding Sources: - 420-PIC 21 State Gifted & Talented (G/T)					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Provide training for a teacher at each campus to serve GT students.		Formative		Summative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, GT Coordinator	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	

Goal 6: PCS will promote cooperative relationships among students, faculty, parents, and community members.

Performance Objective 1: Improve relationships with parents.

Evaluation Data Sources: parent survey

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Provide state assessment results and other communcation in a language the parent can understand.		Formative		Summative	
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Provide Ascender parent portal for communication with teachers and access to student grades.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student grades.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: PEIMS coordinator, Assistant Superintendent					
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund					
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Offer campus events/activities for parent engagement.		Formative		Summative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Funding Sources: Parent Family Engagement Campus Activities - 211 Title I, Part A - \$4,650					
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 4: Increase use of School Messenger for parent communication.	Messenger for parent communication. Formative			Summative	
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 5: Increase use of social media to improve parent communication.		Formative		Summative	
	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Goal 6: PCS will promote cooperative relationships among students, faculty, parents, and community members.

Performance Objective 2: Improve relationships with community members

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Develop partnershisps and MOUs with local institutions of higher education.			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased dual credit and certifications	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent, Coordinator of Academics				
Funding Sources: - 420 General Fund				
		•		•
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Increase social media presence and news opportunities. Enhance website content and navigation.		Rev Formative	views	Summative
Strategy 2: Increase social media presence and news opportunities. Enhance website content and navigation. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increased enrollment	Nov		views Mar	Summative June
Strategy 2: Increase social media presence and news opportunities. Enhance website content and navigation.	Nov	Formative		

District Funding Summary

			420 General Fund	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	1		\$0.00
1	1	3		\$0.00
1	1	5		\$0.00
1	2	2	Renaissance	\$49,000.00
1	2	3		\$0.00
1	2	5		\$0.00
1	5	1	Renaissance	\$49,000.00
2	1	1	E-Dynamics E-Dynamics	\$55,000.00
2	1	2		\$0.00
3	1	1		\$3,000.00
3	2	1		\$0.00
3	3	1	Teacher Mentors	\$0.00
4	1	3	Nurse	\$12,000.00
5	6	1		\$0.00
6	1	1		\$0.00
6	1	2		\$0.00
6	2	1		\$0.00
		•	Sub-Total	\$168,000.00
			211 Title I, Part A	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	DMAC (analyze data)	\$9,382.00
1	1	4		\$154,025.00
1	2	1	154.025	\$0.00
1	2	2	DMAC	\$9,382.00
1	2	4	DMAC	\$9,382.00
5	1	1	Region 12 Grant Support	\$8,625.00
6	1	3	Parent Family Engagement Campus Activities	\$4,650.00

			211 Title I, Part A	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
			Sub-Total	\$195,446.00
			224 IDEA B - Formula Special Ed (SpEd)	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
5	1	2	ARD & Transition Coordinator	\$35,600.00
5	1	3	SuccessEd	\$4,000.00
5	1	4		\$0.00
5	2	1		\$0.00
5	2	2		\$0.00
			Sub-Total	\$39,600.00
			420-PIC 21 State Gifted & Talented (G/T)	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
5	7	1		\$0.00
			Sub-To	tal \$0.00
			420-PIC 25 State Bilingual/ESL	•
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
5	3	2		\$0.00
	<u> </u>	•	Sub-To	tal \$0.00
			420-PIC 37 Dyslexia	•
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
5	5	1		\$0.00
		•	Sub-To	tal \$0.00